



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/016,599 | 12/10/2001 | Nicole A. Nemer | SMQ-066/P5901 6538 EXAMINER | |
| 959 | 7590 11/28/2005 | | | |
| LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET | | | KISS, ERIC B | |
| BOSTON, M. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2192 | - |
| | | | DATE MAILED, 11/29/2004 | • |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/016,599 | NEMER, NICOLE A. | | | | |
| House of Abandonnient | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Eric B. Kiss | 2192 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence address | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of leperiod for reply (including a total extension of time of lepty) (b) A proposed reply was received on 27 June 2005, but rejection. | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | ············ | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | he attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla | erence rendered on and becau aims. | se the period for seeking court review | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd | fraw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | | |

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The Examiner left a voicemail message for Kevin Canning (Reg. No. 35,470) on 7 November 2005, giving the serial number and atty. docket number for the application, and asking whether a response had been submitted subsequent to the Advisory Action mailed 13 July 2005. Mr. Canning did not return the phone call. The Examiner again called Mr. Canning's office on or around 10 November 2005, and Mr. Canning's assistant answered, noted the docket number and the Examiner's contact information, indicating that she would check the file and call back. As of 21 November 2005, no return phone call or other response has been received.

TUAN DAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EX